

Shabat Study by Yada, July 28, 2023

Q & A Part 3

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by a ()? A___ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening and welcome to Yada Yah Radio. I'm here with Kirk and Dee. This evening's program, after we complete the news, will be our third episode of "Q & A."

With all the discussion of Trump's indictment for the calamity of January 6, which I have shared my perspective on, I watched the continuous broadcast of what occurred for perhaps five hours. I was dumbfounded that people would find so much sustenance for their lives in politics. I am amazed that people become groupies of politicians, believe them, and become left or right-wing politically.

Trump has always been an enigma because his policies have always been typically pretty good, with eight out of ten things he does being sane and working out well. In his rhetoric, nine out of ten things he says are borderline insane.

Listening to his January 6 speeches, you have to realize he was not part of the crowd that went to the U S Capital. Of the 99.9% that went to the Capital, the worst thing they could be accused of was trespassing on public property, which would never come to trial because it is such a low-level misdemeanor. 99.9% of them were unarmed, non-violent, and did no harm. Those that actually broke windows to get into the U S Capitol building and who were belligerent inside, which is probably less than one-tenth of one percent of those individuals, there ought to have been some legal ramifications for doing so, but the legal ramifications so long as they were not involved in physically harming anyone, and obviously there was no meaningful amount of property that was damaged, you're dealing with misdemeanors and maybe months in jail. Some of these guys have gotten ten and twelve-year prison sentences. That can happen if you have a political lynch mob having trials in exceedingly

politicized areas where it is impossible to get a jury to be anything other than out-of-their-mind-progressives and liberals.

Now that Trump is going to face the music, even though he was not there at the time and he asked the people to leave, they're saying if he is brought up on charges there, it's a guaranteed loser, that there is no defense that will work. The reason for that is quite simple; because of this trial, the jury pool will come from a deep-blue DC area and therefore be comprised of liberals, which is why it has become a lynch mob. I think that is grossly unfair and inappropriate.

This week the Likud coalition finally passed the first item of judicial reform, and when they did, you'd think the sky would fall; Israel is a house completely divided. This is what happened to Israel during the time of the Greek occupation under the Maccabees, it is what happened that brought Pompeii into Judea as the first Roman invasion when two brothers were vying for power, it is what happened that that caused the Temple to be destroyed in 70 CE, it is most certainly what happened with Rabbi Akiba and the false Messiah bar Kokbha. Jews, going at each other's throats, have invited oppression and invasion of their Homeland many times, and they're doing it again. Netanyahu told IBC in an interview that what he did with the minor correction would be normalized in any democracy, and he's right in that regard. Israel has no Constitution or Bill of Rights. Therefore, there are no guard rails to keep the Judiciary hemmed in on a sweet spot that makes sense in a government. The United States Supreme Court cannot rule on anything other than the U S Constitution. Some 20 years ago, an exceedingly liberal Israeli came up with the idea of the Supreme Court rendering opinions on everything and using a reasonableness standard, which was nothing but a liberal person's opinion as to whether or not an elected official in government could pass a law. It is absurd. It's deeply troubling that the Biden Administration condemns Israel for doing something that has to be done, and it is absurd in Israel that hundreds of thousands of people protest, including IDF officers who are not showing up for duty, over something absolutely necessary. If they don't do this, you can kiss your country goodbye.

I can understand to some degree, if they are honest about it, the opposition (not in the case of Lapid, who is exceeding dangerous, irrational, and one of the most dangerous people in Israel's history because he is a self-serving egomaniac that would prefer to bring Israel down if it meant he had a chance of becoming Prime Minister again) the problem with this is Netanyahu's regime should have passed the entire bucket of wish list items including the appointment of justices and the

parameters on which they make decisions all in one fell swoop. They should have done it very early and should not have hesitated when there was a protest because by doing so, they encouraged protest when they postponed things for the protest such that even this time, the compromises that were ignored were all delayed for a year which would have exacerbated the problem. So, I have a problem with that.

I understand the leftists because, for example, United Torah Judaism is now proposing that Torah study, which is really Talmud reading in Yiddish and Aramaic having nothing to do with Yahowah or His Towrah, but studying Judaism be equated to IDF service such that the Haredim do not have to defend Israel, they can be paid to sip their coffee, have snacks and read their disgusting corrupting books. In their view, their behavior is more beneficial for Israel's defense than the IDF. I'd like to put that to the test the next time we have rockets being fired from Gaza or Hamas invading Israel, or an outbreak of terrorism from Janin. All we need to do is station 20 Haredim reading their books on one side and 20 IDF soldiers on the other and see which one prevails.

Speaking of being a progressive nincompoop, UN Secretary-General Antonio Gutierrez warned yesterday (Thursday) that the era of global warming has ended and has been replaced by the age of global boiling. I don't know if you know, but the climate has now risen to the point where average temperatures have reached the boiling point; we're not dealing with temperatures of the 90s and 100s but instead of temperatures over 200 degrees. You probably didn't know it, but the oceans, rivers, and streams are boiling, and we will soon be out of water entirely. We have entered the era of global boiling, and he is not full of hyperbole. He says, "Climate change is here, and it's terrifying, and it's just the beginning!" The SOB's answer is that only dramatic and immediate climate change action can save the planet.

I've said this a thousand times: If every viable economic entity in the were to say tomorrow, we're not going to provide products and services, we're not going to supply gas for your car, electricity for your home, we're not going to make air conditioners, stoves or ovens, refrigerators, cars, food, medicines, or any of those things; we are only going to fight climate change, all resources to save the planet. First of all, we'd all die. Even with us gone, we wouldn't make a five percent difference in the nature of the planet's climate. It is the most absurd notion in the world, and it is stunning that people continue to be buffaloed by it.

The fact is that the Earth has proven long histories of three-to-six-hundred-year cycles of global warming and global cooling. Global warming periods are good for

humanity; the cooling periods are bad for humanity. We entered the last warming period around 1850, and it will last anywhere from 300 to 600 years or until Yahowah decides that's enough.

A video was released this week of some little girls crying about what was called a "camp for genital mutilation" in Conakry, Guinea. That is not something you hear of very often. The article states that while genital mutilation is banned in Guinea, it is still widely practiced. The woman who was asked to comment on it said, *"I didn't think that I would see that in the 21st century, I thought that mindsets were starting to shift. What gall to publish that. I underwent genital mutilation myself and it is something that you live with until you die."* She didn't mention the cause, why it wasn't declining, or why she had been played for a fool and thought it would go away because it happened to her.

The article concludes with, *"Often, these camps are held during vacation at the home of a woman who will carry out the mutilation. She might have a dozen children there, and they might stay up to a month. The woman feeds them and "instructs" them in traditional values like keeping your mouth shut and only speaking when you are given authorization. However, sometimes there are lessons on good values for human relationships."* Ninety-seven percent of women in Guinea have had their genitals mutilated. You would think somebody would have mentioned the reason that it is common. The article wrote, *"There are several reasons why genital mutilation is carried out. That could be for cultural reasons or tradition – some people say that their grandparents did it, people think they should do it."* Yes, these children are saying my grand mommy did it, so I should do it too. Yeah, right. *"There could be economic reasons because it is a source of revenue for the people who carry it out. It can be related to ideas of dignity and honour. It's also related to the desire of a patriarchal society to control the sexuality of a growing girl."* Why is it always the mothers who perpetrate this on their daughters? Somebody forgot to look up the demographics of Guinea. What percentage of Guinea do you suppose is Muslim? Try 95 percent. There is your problem. If you are unwilling to speak out against Islam, you are aiding and abetting the mutilation of hundreds of millions of little girls.

Sometimes I shake my head in wonder when I read how anybody in a position of authority can be so stupid. Defense minister of Israel Yoav Gallant is considering an attempt at forming a unity government with opposition party leaders Yair Lapid of Yesh Atid and Benny Gantz of the National Unity Party to ease the rift in society

caused by the coalition's judicial overhaul plans. First of all, the rift in society is not based upon the coalition's judicial overhaul plans but the response of Lapid and Gantz to those plans. Lapid is a progressive communist. He's like the Satan of Israel; he looks and plays the part. To trust him in a coalition that can dissolve the government one day just by saying we don't want to play anymore, goodbye, the government's over, you'd have to be insane.

Benny Gantz is interesting in that he is a moderate to conservative. He fits much better with Likud than he does with Lapid and the Liberals, but he got bent out of shape the last time that he formed a coalition government with Netanyahu that would allow him to share a term. Netanyahu backed out of that agreement, so he's got his panties all in a bunch. He's not going to do it. So, why would you make such a stupid statement as the top Likud member in the coalition's ministry? There is a zero chance that such a coalition will hold together or that it would be of any value.

In that story that I shared with you about the addition to a basic law of United Torah Judaism, the first clause of that bill says: "Torah study is a supreme value in the heritage of the Jewish people." If it was actually the Towrah, it would be true, but that's not what they study. The first clause in the bill, called the "Basic Law," says the Torah study is this value in the heritage of the Jewish people.

The second clause says: "The State of Israel as a Jewish state views the encouragement of Torah study and Torah students with utmost important, and regarding their rights and duties, those who dedicate themselves to studying Torah for an extended period should be viewed as having served a significant service to the State of Israel and the Jewish people." They are absolute parasites, a complete waste of time. They have no education; they don't study math, the Sciences, or English; they speak mostly Yiddish. They have no job skills. Most do not work and instead play religious dress-up. They treat women as if they have no value and degrade the nation of Israel. They have no rights; they are not allowed to think or act on their own. The rabbis dictate everything that happens in their lives. It is an absolute waste of humanity.

Last month Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu announced plans to visit China. Xi said that he welcomes him to China and is tremendously looking forward to the visit. Israel's second-largest trading partner is China, and China is the dominant player now in the Middle East. But Netanyahu's internal actors say, "Oh no, you can't do that; it's a bad thing. You're going to upset America. You can't harm uh US-Israeli interests." Tamir Hayman and other former heads of military intelligence said, "It's

a very special relationship we have with the United States. It's in danger, don't go to China." Didn't Biden send his Secretary of State recently to China? Haven't we met with China repeatedly, including fairly recently? So, it's okay for the United States to meet with China, but it's not okay for Israel to meet with China. Is Israel a vassal of the United States?

While we weren't looking, Hamas decided to raid an Islamic Jihad Mosque in Gaza. They broke the Imam's hand. They raided an Islamic Mosque because the nature of Islam has all to do with who's in power and who's being enriched.

We read on this program recently that the United States was considering offering Ukraine cluster bombs, and we talked about how unbelievably stupid that was. Do you know that within the week that the United States said we were considering doing it that Ukraine was using them? So, they had not only been decided upon but shipped and were in the field and in the hands of trained troops about a month before it was discussed. I read these things and wonder what's happening.

Seymour Hersh had an article this week about the stupidity of the Ukraine. He said there is no exit strategy, no legitimacies. The fact of the matter is that they went into the Ukraine for the same reason the United States would go into Mexico if China decided to turn Tijuana into a military outpost for China and station their nuclear submarines, aircraft carriers, and large numbers of troops in Tijuana. The United States would not tolerate that and would act the same way that Putin did. Yet that's what we did in Ukraine. We gave him no choice, and then we are allowing well over a hundred billion dollars of weaponry to be squandered there, killing Russians and Ukrainians for what? There is no end to this. There is no winning. The offensive Ukrainian assaults to reclaim territory have failed. When the Crimean Bridge was recently damaged again, it was U S operatives and U S weapons. The whole thing is now the U S at war against Russia, and even Seymour Hirsch, who is a liberal, says the only explanation for such stupidity on behalf of the Biden Administration is that the promises that were made on behalf of Biden's son when he was rewarded and bribed as part of the Ukraine. It's incredibly sad.

As we conclude the news, President Biden now claims to be a spendthrift and economic wizard. He said, "In my first two years in office, I have reduced the deficit by 1.7 trillion dollars, more than any president in just two years." Of course, it's ridiculous, and it's soon to be overtaken by far worse numbers. The only reason the deficit went down in the first year is that Trump had come up with that four trillion-dollar COVID relief package which sent the deficit to an unprecedented level of around 3.1 trillion dollars. Rather than saying we need another four trillion dollars,

his "Build Back Better" and several other initiatives failed to pass, the deficit fell to 1.4 trillion, and he could not pass additional stimuli. So, the difference was 1.7 trillion only because you're comparing the four-trillion-dollar package that Trump had passed. Then, of course, this year, now that he has passed all that, the deficit is expected to be exactly what he claimed he saved, increasing back to 1.7 trillion dollars. It's a lovely way to play with numbers. That's the news.

I think we have a bunch of questions so we'll see how many that we can get through today.

KIRK: Since contemporary orthodox Judaism teaches that "Satan" doesn't exist, what is the best way in your opinion to approach dissolving that myth when sharing Yahowah's words with Jews coming out of Orthodox Judaism?

YADA: Why don't we start with reading Zakaryah | Zechariah 3: 1,2 and ask yourself who is that that is listed as ha Satan? Once you get past that why don't you read 1 Chronicles 2:1 which says that because of Satan's influence in Israel Dowd had to muster a defense. But it goes way beyond that. When you're dealing with Bare'syth | Genesis that story refers to the adversary as a serpent. When he is being discussed in Yasha'yah 14 he's given the name Halal ben Shachar. In some places he's called the Leviathan, the snake, the serpent, and sometimes he's called ha Ba'al | the lord. There are many names. There are times when he's referred to "the Assyrian." So, where we're given a specific name, "Halal ben Shachar," and we're given a job description, "adversary", and we're given a metaphor, "snake, serpent, Leviathan" and another title which would be "the Lord Ba'al," because each of these things helps explain how he operates, how he uses a serpentine approach, how he is venomous, how he likes to lord over people, how he is adversarial to our interests. So, to claim that there is no Satan is about as credible as Judaism's claiming that Yahowah's name cannot be pronounced, that they're looking for an unnamed Messiah, or that the first four Miqra'ey were not fulfilled or that Matsah doesn't exist, Pesach is really a seven-day-celebration. Take your pick. There's a reason that the Jews admit there's no salvation in Judaism.

KIRK: Most of us who've been through this a long time, I'm not sure, number one that you've been and not to avoid the direct answer, but I don't think you can dissuade most religious people from their indoctrinated beliefs. They have to walk away and that's the first step in going to Yah to begin with. So, for that I don't know a single person that I have met and talked to and any degree where they didn't go to yadayah.com because in early days that was the only source, and now with *An*

Introduction to God those are the two places that reveal Yahowah's plans, and terms and conditions. That's where you start if you want to engage someone after they have decided to walk away.

YADA: Ha Satan is a name, particularly if you __out the references in Job, is not used a lot. Satan is used, I think, six or seven times as a verb. It appears 17 times (mostly in Job) in the Masoretic text. But there is so much emphasis on this adversarial influence that is described by Dowd in the role of Gabry'el during the time that he dates his arrival to fulfill the first four Mow'ed Miqra'ey. It is excruciatingly detailed the role that he'll play, where he'll come from, what his attitude is, what his intentions are in Yasha'yah 14. You really have to eliminate enormous swaths of the Towrah and Prophets to pretend that Satan doesn't exist.

Rosh Hashanah in Jewish religious lore is based upon fooling Satan. So, I think the problem there is that rabbis will say and do anything that makes them popular, and pretending there's no Satan since there's no salvation in Judaism is a pretty clever truck.

DEE: I had a thought on that. I've run into this frequently with Jews online. Maimonides is responsible for this train of thought. Not all Orthodox Jews believe this, but he was able to chalk it up to what they call *yetzer hara* which is an evil impulse that comes from men and not some spiritual intervention and that he described it that it prevents human beings from submitting to Divine will. There's just so many flaws there. It's just not true, and so for any Jews listening, like Craig is telling you, read the Towrah. It clearly states there is, and the rabbis are absolutely lying. There's just no other way around it.

YADA: The fact is most human malfeasance comes from religion and politics. The gang mentality of humanity is bankrupt, and when men and women get together within religious and political organizations, they act exceedingly badly and they do not need a ha Satan, an Adversary, a snake, a serpent or a Halal ben Shachar to egg them on. But when it comes to the really big things like the creation of Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hitler's Nazi Germany, in those cases affecting billions of people there is a strong satanic influence. Paul specifically admitted to being demon-possessed. Muhammad acknowledged that he was the demon-possessed, so in those big areas I think there's no question there are satanic influences particularly in religion because that is Satan's goal; he wants to be worshiped as if he were God. But outside religion man is quite capable of being demonic.

KIRK: Who exactly are the Zarowa', and how many are there?

YADA: There was a time when I would have said there was one because the Towrah defines the Zarowa' as the Sacrificial Lamb, but unfortunately, the one that I would have applied it to doesn't exist – that would be Yahowsha'. The Zarowa', according to Yahowah, is primarily and overwhelmingly Dowd. We've just read in the first third of the 89th Mizmowr that the title Zarowa' was applied to Dowd three different times, the title Messiah and King was applied to him once, so there really is no more important title ascribed to Dowd than Zarowa'. We're also told, and I think it's in Yasha'yah, that Moseh was also a Zarowa'.

Zarowa' can mean many things. It means “a strong arm.” And it's a strong arm of God. It can mean “Protective Shepherd,” but it primarily speaks of a Sacrificial Lamb. It's also one, because it's based on zera', “who sows seeds which take root and grow producing fruit.” So, there is actually a third Zarowa'. There are two monumental Zarowa's – Moseh is Zarowa' one and the great Zarowa' two is Dowd. Then there is the pipsqueak Zarowa' only because Zarowa' is such an important concept to convey to God's people that their Messiah and King, the very Son of God served as the Sacrificial Lamb | Zarowa' and that that is his most important accolade and achievement that is an essential part of our understanding, and over the last three thousand years nobody has understood it. So, the first person to take this essential title announcing that it has been used to describe Dowd and what he did at the most important time of his lives is here and now. And that's the reason why even in Yasha'yah 53 is introduced with are you aware of the of the witness that has come to know the identity of the Zarowa'? So, Yahsha'yah also invoked, and there's a reference in the Towrah from Moseh, that ascribe the pipsqueak “Z”, the buy who is not the strong arm of God nor the protective Shepherd, he's not the Sacrificial Lamb, but he is one sowing the seeds which will take root and grow to announce the actual identity of the most important Zarowa', Dowd. So, I am the “pipsqueak Z,” as I like to refer to it in the text, the “little Z.” So, there are three of them which is the direct answer to your question.

DEE: Is Yahowsha just a title or a name, and is it inappropriate to use Yahowsha and Dowd interchangeably? Is Dowd the real name that the Pesach Lamb went by in his second life?

YADA: That's an interesting question. I don't think anybody was using the mission statement, if you will, Yahowsha' from *Yahow*, Yahowah's name and *yasha'* | the verb meaning “to liberate and save” prior to the writing of these books, *Yada Yahowah*. I'm now devoting two years of my life to eliminate that name. So, I think that 22 years ago I was the first to use it and explained that it was primarily a mission

statement, and now I will spend two years of my life erasing it from the text. I like using my time in the most productive way so I can assure you I would not be spending two years of my life, and it's not me. Jacki and the edit team are right there with me, JK, Mike, Molly, Todd make it a collective effort to get the text right. So, the answer to your question is there is a person named Yahowsha' ben Nun, Moseh's successor and a very important person, but that's the last of the Yahowsha's. There is a high priest being dressed down and meeting with Satan in Zakaryah 3, but he is a bit player and not of importance, so I do think it's inappropriate to use the name Yahowsha'. I thought my original reasoning was sound and I have learned that that's just not true. One of the reasons you long for it is that it's one thing to say that the Christian *New Testament* is wrong about many things; it's another to say that the focus of the Christian *New Testament* is a myth and that's what you basically come to when you realize there's no Yahowsha', that it was Dowd who fulfilled the Mow'ed Miqra'ey, therefore there were no disciples and that the entirety of the story from beginning to end as it is presented in the Christian *New Testament* is a fantasy at the level of *the Odyssey* and that's where you come to. So, there was no Yahowsha'. Yahowah saves, that is true, but He saves the same way He does everything in that He chooses the person to work through, and the person He chose to work through in this case actually chose to do this and asked for the right to do it. That would be His Son and Firstborn, Dowd. So, Dowd is the name of the individual who fulfilled Pesach. I don't think he kept that a secret in his second life.

I've just finished writing vociferously about this subject and I will cover it shortly in a rewrite of Volume Five of *Yada Yahowah*. I'm tearing to ribbons Acts 2 as it describes allegedly the fulfillment of Shabuw'ah, and it is completely rotten from beginning to end. One of the things I say is that it is an extraordinary set of circumstances because Dowd as Gabry'el when reporting what he was going to do on the specific day he was going to do it and how his people would respond to him as the Messiah that Dowd is telling his people this is what I'm going to do, why I'm doing it and exactly when I'm going to do it, and how you're going to respond. In the 22nd Mizmowr he says this is what's going to happen to me as I fulfill Pesach, Matsah and Bikuwrym. Then Yasha'yah wrote, in what we call the 9th chapter, this child will be born. This son will be given; His name is Dowd, and these are the things he's going to do. Then in Yasha'yah 53 he explains exactly the reasons that Dowd will take on our guilt on Pesach and Matsah. So, we have this enormous swath of information. In fact, it's mentioned one way or another in the first 30 Mizmowr of detailed eyewitness accounts of exactly what's going to happen, when it's going to happen, to whom it's going to happen, so that when it happens there's nothing more to say. We were told when it was going to happen, who was going to do it and exactly what would transpire so that when it actually transpired there was nothing more to

say. So, there's no purpose whatsoever for this balderdash of the Christian *New Testament*; it's all untrue anyway.

One of the things that Dowd said when speaking as Gabrielle | God's Most Capable and Competent Individual, when relaying what he was going to do and how he's going to do it, was understand that when I do this it's going to bring an end to prophecy; there will be no more Prophets or divine revelations. There were no prophets available, there was no one that God could have worked with to say this is what happened. More importantly, prophecy explains what's going to happen in the future writing it down in the past so that when it does happen as it was explained you can trust the source. Prophecy is not writing a contemporaneous historical account - that's what men do. So, as it was happening it wouldn't be prophecy and there were no Prophets. So, you can throw away the entirety of the Christian *New Testament*. The only reason even to discuss it is to understand how this monster operates and how it legitimizes its quest to haunt, torture, and demonize Jews and to help free some Christians and Jews from it. Yes, it was Dowd, and you don't have to worry about the fact that the Christian *New Testament* has a misnomer "Iésous" who became "Jesus" because no such individual ever existed. I guess that's the long answer.

DEE: On Taruw'ah in 2001, can you please explain how the passage from Psalm 91 happened to be in your pocket?

YADA: Nope. I have no idea. I assume that Yahowah put it there, but I pulled it out and read it, it answered my questions. I said okay, okay, You win. I'm gonna fight this. Thank you very much. I read it; I was impressed that it performed as designed. Listen. There is a tendency of even Covenant members, but of people in general, to get caught up in the details as to what may have transpired or how things are going to work as opposed to the big picture. How that ended up in my pocket is a detail. I don't care how God did it. In fact, if it was a miraculous printing and a miraculous insertion it wouldn't impress me. If it was just something commonplace it wouldn't bother me because that's not what matters. What matters is Yahowah asked me to do something, I had objections, I was encouraged to negotiate, and the answers were given to me. The answers are profound and have all been honored. In the 22 years since that time, I've done many things that no one in human history has ever done and everything that I asked for that was offered has been fulfilled exactly as was promised and for Yahowah to have something like that written three thousand years ago to apply to that time, that's the big picture. That's what impresses me; how it got there is never even thought about. I'm not even curious. I guess that's strange because

people are looking for miraculous things and I think the miracle is in the power of the words.

KIRK: How or Where do I read Yahowah's Towrah? I am cynical of anything I find online; I want to read it as Yahowah intended without any Paul-isms, and I noticed that Yahowah's Towrah is not supplied on your website. Where do I find a trusted source?

YADA: The Towrah is not supplied on the website? Can I just make one suggestion? David, who is spectacular; I dearly love what he has done, and Jacki worked so beautifully together to create the almost one-year-old index that I asked for. If you go to yadayah.com, click on the "Index" tab at the top of the page, and start with the first five books, you will find that we have translated almost all of Bare'syth | Genesis. If there's something in Bare'syth | Genesis that you want to read, chances are it's not only translated in one place but in multiple places. Sometimes it appears five or six times in these volumes.

The vast majority of Shemowth | Exodus is presented. Qara' | Leviticus as it relates to the presentation of the Mow'ed Miqra'ey and what the Yowbel represent is detailed and all there. I haven't done all of Numbers; it's a little hit and miss there. I'm not quite as into genealogies as my friend Dee who put together that wonderful timeline, but when it comes to Dabarym, there's not significant sections that we have not covered. So, if you want to read the Towrah it's presented in yadayah.com. Go to the index, pick your spot and go.

My approach too has been it's one integrated whole – Towrah, Prophets and Psalms – one thing. The Towrah is full of prophecy, the Prophets are full of Towrah teaching. My fun in this thing is seeing how the whole picture fits together and how all the details work to compose this portrait of who Yahowah is, what He's offering and what He's asking in return. So, the entire emphasis is let's go and translate the portions of the Towrah, the Mizmowr | Psalms, and the Naby | Prophets that help us see the picture clearly of who Yahowah is, what He's offering and what He's asking in return. That to me is more interesting than just sitting there and doing a translation out of the Towrah without commentary or without trying to integrate these things to form a clear picture.

KIRK: This is an awfully good answer. If you're concerned with the reliability of these then, of course, you're going to have to do some work yourself. You will need an interlineary and some lexicons, etc. and dig into it to learn how to understand these Hebrew words and what they mean in this context. You'll need a little bit of

the grammar. I use pictographs because they are fun to me, but I use them in conjunction with everything else. I've got a ton of stuff I use, and I have it online. I initially spent several hundred dollars to get enough hardcover resources and now so much of it is online that you can just pick which one speaks to you and you will be able to answer about anything there.

YADA: One thing I think that we could do that would be helpful, and it's a very large project that I don't know if anybody is looking for one, but a glossary of terms would be a nice addition. So, you take the thousand words and names that are used the most words and come up with an amplified definition of those words so that somebody can look up those words because if you buy just one lexicon you are typically going to be misled; you need five to seven lexicons to do the job right. You must have some sense of what the letters actually convey in these words and, of course, there are things that are defined in context. There's a lot that goes into it. I think a glossary would help.

I also think a primer on Hebrew grammar would help particularly with the stems. One of the problems is if you look at some of these that have been done, they're mostly done on a basis that they just don't have any punch to them. The tool that I use is a very old one that explains the relationship between the subject and the object relative to the nature of the verb, so you're not going to be able to go to some academic source and copy and paste. It really is going to take some work, or at least have access to the tools that I use that explain the complex relationship that exists between the subject and the object relative to the action of the verb. I think that a comprehensive explanation of the perfect, the imperfect, and the three volitional moods would contribute to somebody's ability to verify this and that it would be a useful tool going forward.

KIKK: Most of the interlinearities also will have all that underneath it so you at least know what they're talking about if you're not a grammarian.

YADA: The online interlinearities that I use will state the stem, the conjugation, and because they're electronically linked you can immediately read if you have forgotten or you just need the verification with the hifil, qal, nifil stem, or any one of these. It will tell you what that stem means relative to the relationship between the subject and the object in the sentence. That's why there's some artistry here because Hebrew grammar, particularly the old grammar, was not subject, verb, and object as we write in English. Even adjectives and adverbs don't typically flow in the same order we would have them in English. It's not red wagon, but wagon red. It's not Set-Apart

Spirit, it's Spirit Set-Apart. So, there is some artistry involved too. You have to do it a long time and really be passionate to become proficient at it. Personally, I think the single biggest issue for translating is getting to know Yah. When you understand who He is, what He's offering, what He cares about, what He expects in return, once you come to know those things you will look at the various meanings of words, which many have a dark and light side and many different connotations, and you'll have a better sense as to what aspect of that word's meaning you would apply in this particular sentence.

I go in with the bias that I know, like, love, and respect Yahowah, I understand what He is trying to achieve, and my goal is to help other people see that. So, if I take a word like *'anah* and it's used in an Invitation for us to meet with Yah one of the seven times during His Mow'ed Miqra'ey and that the word's primary meaning is "to respond and to answer" and its tertiary meaning is "to afflict" and it's an invitation from God to do something wonderful for us, I am not going to write "afflict." I'm going to write "answer and respond."

KIRK: Why do some covenant family members think that harvest years such as 2026 or 2029 would be possible? What's the possibility that Dowd will fill the role of 'Elyah in the spring of 2030, by way of the Ruach/spirit? Is there any statements to support this possibility? Thank you. Shalom! Gene

YADA: The absolute earliest that Taruw'ah could be filled in terms of this final Harvest would be in 2026; that would be fully seven years before Yahowah and Dowd returned. I think that is unlikely. I hope that's unlikely. We'd have very little time and history would have to fall apart at an alarming rate although Israel is complying with that at this point. Just this evening the Biden Administration put out a press release saying they're close to having a deal with Saudi Arabia that will incorporate its support for Israel, but what the United States wants is for Israel to cancel judicial reform, to throw out the more conservative members of the current coalition, and to accept the two-state solution. So, it is the destruction of Israel that is required for it to have peace with Saudi Arabia. That's the kind of stuff that will accelerate this timeline.

Part of this is that if you are reading the just the Christian *New Testament*, you're going to come up with the tribulation is seven years and the rapture takes place at the beginning of it. The fact of the matter is that there is no rapture. Tribulation is not a very good reflection of the time of Ya'aqob's Troubles. In the time of Ya'aqob's Troubles you would get the sense that it is really the last three and a half

years with exception of a timeline that is presented by Gabry'el, who was Dowd, to Daniel. So, we have to be a little bit careful here in that Daniel was a scribe and a real scallywag. But Dowd obviously knows the timing, and in his presentation, you would have both a full seven-year period and a three-and-a-half-year period, so there's the possibility either way according to that text. When you read Yahsha'yah 17 explain the order of things you get more of a feel for 2027 as being the most likely time, maybe 2028. I've come to the conclusion that just knowing Yahowah that the more time we have the better and it's the right mindset for us to have to prepare for as long as we can possibly be here to help as many people as possible come to know Yahowah and that puts it in 2029. So, that's my that is my preference just because to say earlier I think is defeatist and to say later is better for God's people, Yahowah, Dowd, Yahuwdym, and for everyone if it's late. So, that is certainly my preference.

The year that it happens I do not care. Again, the job is to fulfill Taruw'ah which is to be an effective messenger on behalf of Yahowah's people to both warn them and to encourage them to come home to Yahowah before it's too late; that's the purpose of it. The purpose of it isn't for the group of us to go off to space camp. The purpose of it is for us to do what we're doing now. The longer we have to do it the better. That's my view on it.

Is there a possibility that Dowd is going to fill the role of 'Elyah? Absolutely unequivocally no. 'Elyah will fill the role of 'Elyah. The other witness is Yours Truly. It is not a privilege or an honor. I'm not bragging. It's just that it's so obvious when you read the text there is no other viable candidate and it is mentioned, hinted at, and described in every possible way. We're going to arrive in Yaruwshalaim on Pesach | Passover, the 14th of 'Abyb in 2030. Our job is to tell the world Dowd is returning, Dowd is your Savior and Messiah, he's going to be the King of Kings. Get your act together before it's too late because if you wait it will be too late for you and you will not be included. Are there any statements that support the possibility of it being Dowd? Absolutely not. Are there statements regarding the rest of this? Yes. In Mal'aky, Zakaryah; it's all over Yasha'yah. Yasha'yah is the greatest of the prophets in the sense of the prophetic landscape. No one listened to Yasha'yah when he was delivering this, and no one would for almost 2,700 years thereafter and so Yahowah said trust Me. What you really have received and revealed is going to play the pivotal role towards the fulfillment of each of the Mow'ed Miqra'ey both in 33 CE and 2033 CE. I am going to equip and prepare this little Z. He is going to take care of this; he's going to be your *Bashar*; he's going to share your words as

they were meant to be heard at a time that will have a profound effect on your people so don't stress about this. That's why there's so much reference to this in Yasha'yah.

DEE: According to a Rav's (rabbi) opinion, we can wish Shabat Shalom to a non-Jew and respond to him, but they are not allowed to observe Shabat and must be discouraged from being Shomer (which is based on shamar). Thoughts? Anon (Jewish woman)

YADA: My thoughts are that I take advice from Yahowah not from people who have absolutely no authority and that God despises which would be the rabbis, so I hold the rabbis in contempt as does God. Yahowah is very focused on the observance of His Shabat. The difference is Shabat is a verb in Hebrew and it speaks both of promise and seven and Yahowah is always shown very actively engaged doing things on Shabat. It is an actionable concept, so I'm completely opposed to the thousand ways to do nothing on the Shabat that is part of Judaism. I am not a big Shabat-Shalom-kind-of-person, that is the common greeting amongst Jews. I've always rebelled against anything that is common or religiously inspired but I don't refrain from saying it because I do say it from time to time as we sign off on this show. I'll say it to anyone I don't care if a Jew or a non-Jew says it to me. I don't care if I'm saying it to a Jew or a non-Jew; it is irrelevant. Shalom means "to reconcile, to have peace in the relationship." It's a wonderful Hebrew term. Yahowah uses it constantly and Shabat is a time to celebrate the promise of seven. So, both words are powerful in what they convey to us, and I won't play the game of religiosity of what a rabbi says you cannot and can do.

As for being observant, that is the problem of Rabbinical Judaism. They observe the wrong thing and they have turned the idea of observance, which is to focus on, to closely examine and carefully consider into a religion of do this and do that as if they don't even know what the word means anymore.

DEE: That one really bothered me because nobody should be doing what the rabbis say regardless, and we agree on that, but to discourage people from being *shamar* | to pay attention, you can't get to Yahowah any other way and it's totally wicked, in my opinion.

YADA: Of course, the rabbis don't even know His name so it's for darn sure they're not being observant. The first requirement for being part of the Covenant, and there's no salvation apart from the Covenant, is to forego religion. You have to walk away from Babel | religion and politics. So, the very first thing you have to do if you're

interested in forming a relationship with Yahowah is to disassociate from the rabbis. So, I would strongly encourage doing as God suggests.

KIRK: Congratulations on your marriage-- you sound so happy when you talk about your impressive bride on the YY show! Since the Towrah, Prophets and Psalms do not specifically outline a process for marriage, how should covenant members approach the marriage contract? Justice of the Peace? Are wedding rings ok? Anon

YADA: I think the answer to the question is as it's described in the Towrah which is there is no ceremony ever. There are no vows, no laws, ever. There isn't even a word for marriage, nor is there a word for husband or wife. *'Isha* and *'ish* simply mean "female and male individual." Recognizing that, we ought not try to ascribe rules and ceremonies to ourselves. If you want to share some words with your love and make promises to them, do so. But be careful because people and situations change. There's no reason to make commitments that you can't honor.

There's such a difference in the presentation of relationships in the Towrah. You look at Ya'aqob. He became an indentured servant so he could marry a very wicked man's daughter and once he served for seven years as essentially a slave. Laban tricked him and gave him Leah. Leah turned out to be the better of the two anyway, but he didn't know that at the time. He had to work another seven years as a slave to get the second one and that wasn't enough because they started playing handmaidens too and he had children that would represent the 12 tribes of Yisra'el through twelve handmaids who were essentially slaves. So, God's view of this is not the monogamous view that is purported and promoted by religion.

If you look at Dowd, the man Yah loved the most, he had eight wives and ten lovers (concubine is such a pejorative term, I think). I think he genuinely loved these women, and I don't think they were his property. He had ten lovers in addition to his eight wives, and God never had an issue with any of that. His only issue was how he acquired his eighth wife. God said what he did was miserable and disgusting. God's view of this is that maybe man isn't as monogamous as we think and that relationships need an out clause. His out clause was writing a letter to your spouse. But I understand that there are men and even more women who like the security of I've got to document, this person's mine, and they're committed to me because they've said vows and they've got this document. That's not my mindset. If you're unhappy with me in a relationship, go. You're free to go. If you're happy with our relationship, then by all means stay. So, I guess it's a little different for me than it would be for others. I just try to take the Towrah's point of view, which is there's no

ceremony, there are no vows, no promises, no terminology for it. The closest thing you get is the *beryth* | Covenant. So, you can apply some of those principles.

As for wedding rings, I don't have any jewelry; I don't wear a watch, a ring or a necklace. I have no piercings or tattoos. Leah, my wife whom I followed exactly this advice that I'm giving and sharing with you, does wear a ring. Part of that is that we live in a society that if a woman is not wearing a wedding ring, the men are very forward. So, it's a comfort to her in that regard and I think she looks at it and says this is where I am in this relationship. She enjoys that place and I enjoy her being in that place, so I don't think there's anything wrong with the ring. I think it's comforting and it's also a bit protective. Those are my thoughts.

DEE: Ya'aqob gave Rachel jewelry as a gift. When you look at Howsha' 2:19 it's '*aras atah* | I'm gonna request that you be with me; it's an engagement proposal, there's no ceremony. It's interesting that the writ of divorce is the anti of '*atah*, it's the undoing the pledge, it's just I'm canceling this out so it's really what you it was.

YADA: in the Towrah the tent is the big thing. If you moved someone into your tent or you moved into someone's tent you were effectively acting as if you were married and once you begin to act like you are married there are certain responsibilities in the way that you will treat one another, communicate with one another, support one another, and support your children if you have them. But even just supporting one another in a home environment, there are responsibilities. Look at Chawah. She was given to 'Adam as a means of support. So, we are to support one another. I think there are responsibilities in any relationship that make the relationship meaningful and if both parties aren't willing to contribute it just does not work.

The world is very hung up on sexuality. Islam, Judaism and Christianity are very hung up on what is appropriate and inappropriate sexually. Yahowah is not a cosmic killjoy; He designed us as we are, and I think He very much wants us to explore and enjoy sexuality, to have fun and be creative with it to bring each other pleasure. There are really no restrictions other than don't do lambies and goats; that's a really demeaning and disgusting thing. Don't take advantage of another man because you've become victorious in war and sodomize him; that's really disgusting. Don't do your sons and daughters, or your mothers and fathers. Rape and pedophilia and incest are really bad things. These are exceedingly destructive to human relationships and our development in a family setting. So, these things God speaks out against. But otherwise, He had no problem with 'Adam and Chawah being completely naked; they had no problem being naked I'm sure they figured out how

their various parts fit together and there was some pleasure and enjoyment from that. So, I think God is very supportive of sexuality. I think He invented it so that we could live rich and fulfilling lives. Said the newlywed man who's now all choked up saying, hey I like that stuff too. Dee, you're going to have to read the next one, I think you're more composed.

DEE: Will souls who are not part of Yahowah's covenant family, but did not mislead others in Yah's name, dissipate directly after their mortal existence concludes? Anon

YADA: The fact of the matter is that we're not told. One of the reasons we're not told is that time as it relates to Yahowah is just entirely different from us. If, for example, Dowd had a period of suspended animation between lives one and two and between two and three he wouldn't even know it. There would just be one moment to the next, so it's immaterial and it would be immaterial for people who are going to dissipate because they do not know Yahowah and for those who are going to end up in She'owl. I think that makes the most sense for those who are going to end up in She'owl to be in a suspended animation in that one moment they are dead and the next moment from their perspective they're being judged. Those moments may be a thousand years apart, but it will be immediate from their perspective. I think there's no reason to awaken somebody who does not know Yahowah but who didn't lead in a material way lots of people astray unless it is to awaken them so that they can witness those who may have seriously abused them or those they love for recompense; I think they're entitled to that. But otherwise, I don't think it matters.

KIRK: The next two I think the same answer would apply. Where are the souls of Paul and Akiba, etc. currently waiting for judgment?

YADA: I think I just answered that which is it would be foolish to send them off to She'owl and bring them back for judgment. That's just ridiculous, and God's not ridiculous. The thing that makes the most sense is that they're put in a suspended animation. From their point of view, they died, and the next cognitive moment will be they will be there in the process of being judged. So, for them it will look like an instant, but it will also give us the opportunity to do the right thing and to send them not only into She'owl but to explain the reasons that they're going there.

DEE: This is bordering on Purgatory which is absolutely not in the Towrah anywhere to be found.

YADA: No. No Purgatory.

DEE: Is “soul sleep” a real thing? Before Dowd’s sacrifice did all souls go to She’owl or a place of waiting? A similar question by E. M.

YADA: I think that’s the same thing we’ve been talking about. We are not told. We do know that Dowd for a period went into an altered state of consciousness. The Hebrew word for sleep was used as it relates to altered consciousness, so there is the possibility that Dowd would have said I want to do this I want to be brought back in year 4000 Yah, I want to fulfill the Mow’ed Miqra’ey, and he may have been kept in a suspended animation from the moment he lived his first life to the second. I think that makes more sense because if he had spent those thousand years in Shamaym | Heaven and then after being a seven-dimensional creature and spending all this wonderful time with Yahowah, had to go down there and live 33 years as a human and then suffer in this way, that seems to be meaner. So, I’m hoping it was that from his point of view I go from one moment to the next as if there was no 1,000-year gap in this process with one exception. I would think it would be fairer to Dowd to give him the same thing that I hope I get, that ‘Elyah I’m certain has, which is that prior to coming back as one of the two witnesses I’m hoping there is that six months of spending it with Yahowah being recharged. There would be a level of confidence and commitment that would go with that that I think is important in reinforcing. So, I think it probably happened with Dowd, I think it’s happening with ‘Elyah, and I hope it happens with us too. It’s kind of a little bit of a blend - mostly suspended animation but sometimes they’re just as reinforcement.

DEE: In that vein, are the souls of Covenant family who have died immediately transformed into light and adopted into Yah’s family in Shamaym? Anon

YADA: This is, again, we’re getting into details over the big picture. Time does not flow for a four-dimensional creature much less a seven-dimensional creature the way it does for us. So, from Yahowah’s perspective we can go from one life to the next in an instant and have no waiting around. There could be a thousand-year gap between them, and from our perspective we wouldn’t know if there’s a gap or no gap; we’d have no way of knowing if we go directly to Shamaym with Yahowah or if there is a gap of seven years or ten years, etc. So, it’s just that we need to understand that time does not flow at the same rate and is not perceived the same way for a seven-dimensional creature than it is for a three-dimensional creature. We’re going to become seven-dimensional creatures so the answer is it doesn’t matter; it just doesn’t matter, and I wouldn’t be concerned about it.

Yahowah isn't in a position to tell us about these kinds of things on time because we're in a different situation. We're stuck in the ordinary flow of it - He's not. God perceives time completely differently than we do and it will be perceived completely different by us. So, I wouldn't get caught up on the details of time. It's where you're going and the fact that you're going to have these increases all the way into dimensions beyond your comprehension.

DEE: You have calculated the date of 2 October 2033 based on the death of Dowd. However, now that we know that Dowd is our actual Pesach 'Ayil, in that he died on another date, (I don't know why she says that) what is the new calculated date of Yahowah's return with Dowd? Isobel

YADA: First, it was Dowd's body in 33 CE that would have succumbed to being the Sacrificial Lamb. Dowd's soul which is the part that matters spent one day in She'owl on Matsah and then he is clearly back with his Father at this point because that was part of Bikuwrym. It is Dowd who as Gabry'el gives us the timeline for himself that the exact date that Mashyach would walk into Yaruwshalaim and be sacrificed with his own people creating a covenant in opposition to him. When you do the math on that you end up with four days before Pesach | Passover in 33 CE. So, that's the math, Dowd's the name, that's the day that he predicted that he would arrive.

The following dates are easily discernible from the Towrah that it was year zero when 'Adam and Chawah were booted from the Garden; it was 40 Yowbel thereafter which would be 1968 BCE that 'Abraham and Yitschaq affirmed the conditions of and their support of the Covenant on Mount Mowryah where Yahowah said when we do this again, I will supply the Lamb. Exactly 40 Yowbel later Dowd served as the Pesach 'Ayil in 33 CE. Each of those dates are exactly 40 Yowbel (2,000 years) apart. We know from the many ways of deducing this that it was in 33 CE that Dowd fulfilled the first four Miqra'ey. So, if you add 40 Yowbel to that you end up with 2033 CE. What is the next Mow'ed Miqra' to be fulfilled? It is Kipurym. Kipurym is fulfilled in 2033 | year 6000 Yah beginning at sundown at 6:22 pm on October 2 in Yaruwshalaim.

If you were to ask, is it possible that I'm off by 24 hours and that Kipurym will last until October 3 at 6:22 you got me. I could be 24 hours off. The reason I never talk about the end of Kipurym is that while Matsah is the most important day for us, Kipurym is the most important day for Yahowah and the idea that Yahowah is returning at the last minute of the most important day and happiest day for Him, the

reunion of Him and His people seems ludicrous to me. So, I think Yahowah wants to soak up every possible minute of that day of Yowm Kipurym in year 6000 Yah. He should be like a father that wants to be there for his son or daughter's first steps, I cannot imagine Him delaying one second beyond what is necessary. So, if I'm wrong by 24 hours I'll be really surprised if the Father I know doesn't want to hurry back and I'm going to be really disappointed if we don't succeed in having a quality audience looking up at Dad.

DEE: In my opinion I think that Yahowah does everything He can, when we're right and correct about Him, to try to bolster us in return. If He was going to come at a later time, He'll probably come earlier just because you wrote it so many times. I would. My friend did that for me. I was like I'm gonna come five hours earlier because I want to support Yada. I would do that for my friend.

YADA: I think we're on pretty solid ground that it's going to happen earlier. All right, we're still recording but no longer broadcasting. Let's see if we can get through the rest of these because I know that my friend Dr. Jeff had a question that we haven't come to yet.

DEE: Craig mentioned I am to Dany'el even though the conversation was recorded nearly 2,600 years ago. Unless I'm reading this wrong, that's a hard one for my rational mind to comprehend. Craig wasn't alive then. Dowd appeared whenever he wanted. Thanks. Rick Pila

YADA: Why is it hard to comprehend? Because we are trapped in the ordinary flow of time we do not see time as something that can be maneuvered in, which Yahowah does. What did Yahowah do with His Prophets? He took them from their time forward in time to witness something and then back in time to write about it. So, God's fully capable of taking somebody forward in time to have them see what's going to happen and take them back in time for them to write about it. Why would you limit Him and say you can't take somebody forward in time back in time?

This whole thing has all played out. God has witnessed the entire thing, so I don't think it's difficult. It's just very difficult for us to wrap our minds round because we're stuck in the ordinary flow of time. God's not restricted in that way. So, again it's a detail. I understand that details seem important to folks. I wouldn't worry about that so much because so many of these questions are over time and the key thing to understand is the Hebrew language is liberated in time. All the verbs are true throughout time. They either speak of a completed event in the past, present, or

future or an ongoing event but they are never locked into past, present, or future; they are liberated in time. That's the nature of the Hebrew language.

Yahowah equates Himself to light. Light is independent of time. On a photon of light time simply exists; it's the fullness of time on a photon of light, and even the flow of time is relative to the observer in the presence of energy and velocity. So, the more you come to appreciate the integration of time into the Hebrew language and into light which Yahowah ascribes for Himself and as it plays out in the fourth dimension on our way to the seventh, the more comfortable you will be with all of these issues over being stuck in the flow of time versus being liberated in time so that time becomes an entirely different concept.

KIRK: Can you explain how you would approach Zakaryah 12:10 where Jews who do not acknowledge or have a clue that this passage is about Dowd? Christian apologists often use this passage to try to prove that "Jesus" was pierced.

Please note I have included Zakaryah 12:10 for easy reference:

YADA: The reason I knew about this question is that Dr Jeff is my good friend and we've been brothers for a long time. He was one of the very first that came to know, trust and rely upon Yahowah through these translations we were doing. He has a great mind, is a wonderfully rational Yahuwd, and I sincerely love the man; we talk regularly. He called me called me this afternoon and I asked him if he was a contributor to the questions and he told me that this was his.

Therefore (*wa* – such that), **they shall look** (*nabat* – they, at this moment, will observe, focus upon, pay attention to, and regard, they will think about and understand, then appropriately respond) **to Me** (*'el 'any*) **whom** (*'eth 'asher* – by association) **they have actually pierced** (*daqar* – they have thrust into by driving sharp implements completely through (qal perfect)), **and** (*wa*) **they shall lament** (*saphad* – they will express their genuine sorrow (qal perfect)) **for him** (*'al*) **as** (*ka* – similar to the way) **one cries** (*misphe* – one shrieks and howls) **when reunited with an only child** (*'al ha yachyd* – for a unique and special child; from *yachad* – to be reunited and joined together), **anguished** (*marar* – grieving furiously) **for him** (*'al huw'* – on his account), **similar to** (*ka*) **the anguish suffered over** (*marar 'al* – the frustration endured over) **their firstborn child** (*ha bakowr* – the first son born into a family)." (*Zakaryah / Remember Yah / Zechariah 12:10*)

So, to answer the question, it certainly can't be Jebus because it begins with Yahowah saying that this pertains to Him. So, Yahowah uses His own name, and He

speaks of pouring out His Spirit on the people at this time in Yaruwshaliam. He does mention a second name, and it most certainly isn't "Jesus." The second name He mentions is Dowd. In fact, He mentions Dowd in the prelude to all of this because it is the house of Dowd, the family of Dowd that He's pouring out this Spirit of Compassion and Reconciliation upon.

The next part is that there's a very important word in all of this in terms of piercing. It is *'eth* which draws a connection and association between things. It says that as He returns they will look upon the one with whom He is returning, whom they have pierced, and will mourn for him. As He finishes this, He has three different words for son and firstborn son. There's only one person throughout the Towrah and Prophets that Yahowah refers to as His Son and He specifically gives the title of *Bakowr* | Firstborn to Dowd. So, Dowd is the one that Yahowah goes out of His way to say I am his Father, he is My Son, and even repeats that in the 89th Mizmowr. Dowd is the only one that is called the *Bakowr* by Yahowah, His Firstborn. So, the person that was pierced was the Firstborn, the Son of God and the Yahuwdym that are gathered for Reconciliations on this day, October 2nd at sundown, 6:22 pm, 2033 CE, year 6000 Yah, the thing that is going to cause our eyes to well up in tears to cry bitterly even while we're thrilled and excited to be in Yahowah's company is that we humans tortured God's Son. We drove nails through his hands and his feet and hung him from the most excruciating form of death ever designed. And that was the easy part. The hard part was that with his soul he carried our guilt into She'owl and deposited it there so we would look perfect in God's eyes. Now, when you see somebody that did that for you, you're going to be really emotional.

God's a spiritual being. It's tough to nail a spiritual being; the nails just don't stick. The whole thing is about the Son who we pierced. So, Jews, what you're missing is that every time it talks about the fulfillment prophetically of Passover by the Passover Lamb, by the *Zarowa*, it's always addressing Dowd. It's in first person, it is Dowd's sacrifice and you missed it. Dowd says that he's pierced. He describes Roman crucifixion a thousand years before it was invented and here God's saying yeah, I'm coming back with Dowd. I'm telling you that and when you see the one that you pierced you are really going to bawl your eyes out.

The first time I told Leah about six months ago that that Passover Lamb is Dowd and that he's the one that suffered that torture and then carried our guilt with him into She'owl, I expected her to have the same reaction I did which is to celebrate that, that it now all comes together that Dowd chose to fulfill this, we understand

why, what a great celebration, the Messiah is our savior, he is the *Zarowa*'. She didn't stop crying for five or six hours. I think that every Jew that is there at that reunion will do the same thing because it wasn't just that this happened for their benefit, it's that the Jewish people for the past 2,000 years have denied it. It's one thing for him to have done it, it's another thing for his people to have denied him and that's going to be really painful. So, that's my answer, Jeff.

DEE: There a part two of that question. He asked that most Jews are not allowed to speak God's name and are not aware that God also has a son, a question that Yah Himself asks in Mashal 30:4. How would you explain to Jews that the Son of God, who is a human (incomplete question).

YADA: I don't think it's necessary to explain to Jews that God has a son because God says He does. He introduces him in probably the most important prophecy in all of prophecies which is 2 Shamuw'el | Samuel 7 and He specifically speaks of Dowd not only returning as the King of Kings and of Yahowah establishing his seat of honor, and building a house for him, and all these wonderful things, but he specifically says he's My Son, I'm his Father. In Mizmowr 89 which is the most important document probably ever written apart from the Towrah, and in some ways just as important as the Towrah, Yahowah says again I am his Father, he is My Son and He is specifically introduced as the *Bakowr* | the Firstborn. He is the exemplar for the Covenant. So, God makes the statement. If you don't like the fact that God picked this man to be His Firstborn Son to inherit the heavens and the Earth and then share it with us, go pick your fight with God; it's not going to work out real well for you.

God loved Dowd and Dowd loved God. They are Father and Son. Everything that God and Dowd achieved together in terms of the benefits of the Covenant apply to all of us. So rather than fight it say I'm delighted they were Father and Son and they worked together in the fulfillment of the Mow'ed Miqra'ey because we're the beneficiaries. So, celebrate it. If God says that He is My Son and He repeats it and then he has all these other accolades including that he's My Firstborn and he gets the inherited share of the Firstborn, why would God lie to you? If you're saying it's not true, then you're calling God a liar and God holds them accountable. Mizmowr 89 says if this is not all true about Dowd then I am a liar. What do you think the chances are of that?

All right. Why don't we go for another? We have a few minutes left.

DEE: This question has been a long time coming: After discovering books written by false prophets, how many times does Yahowah's name now appear in the Towrah, Naby and Mizmowr?

YADA: I get this all the time. I think the number is 7,000. Yes, it's true that Ezekiel has a field day with Yahowah's name because ha Satan wants to be Yahowah, so it's over a hundred times in that book. If you subtract that from the original estimate of seven thousand and you'd have 6,900, but if you're doing your homework, you'll quickly learn that we don't have the full cadre of Mizmowr. There are in the Dead Sea Scrolls many Mizmowr that are not part of the current collection that Dowd wrote. We don't have the entirety of them, only parts of them. We don't know how many times Yahowah's name is mentioned, but we do one thing that Dowd mentions Yahowah's name a lot and we have somewhere between 150 and 250 places where the Dead Sea Scrolls replaced where the Masorettes completely erased Yahowah's name and replaced it with their "Adonai" where Yahowah's name was in the older text. So, with those thoughts, you are so close to being back to 7,000. You're going to be back to 6,900 and some odd, what are the odds that it's not 7,000? Again, when you know Yahowah, and you know His predilection for the number seven, and you are within five percent of a magnificent number like that what is the likelihood that it isn't found in the additional Mizmowr or the corrections to the Masoretic text? The Masoretic text is wrong by seven percent. Seven percent of the time the Masoretic text is just flat-out wrong as you compare it to the Dead Sea Scrolls. So, you've got a seven percent factor there. Seven percent of seven thousand is far more than the mentions of Yahowah in Ezekiel. I haven't changed the number from seven thousand because I just don't think there's any reason to considering the additional Mizmowr, the seven percent error factor in the Masoretic text, and the fact that while we have Dead Sea Scrolls for every book that actually belongs in Yahowah's Towrah and Prophets, we don't have the entirety of all those books and so if you were to factor in what we're missing and the places where Yahowah's name would appear I'm confident the number still is seven thousand.

I'm also questioned frequently about that I've said forty prophets and now we have eliminated Jonah, Job, Ezekiel, Daniel, who were not prophets. So, how many prophets were there? Well, before I changed the number, I went through the entirety of the Towrah, Prophets and Psalms and referenced every time that Yahowah referred to somebody as a Prophet. If you remove the names that I've just mentioned but include every time that Yahowah refers to somebody as a prophet, the number is 40.

For example, Yahowah says that ‘Abraham was a prophet. We have prophecies from ‘Abraham in the sense that all the terms and conditions of the Covenant turned out to be prophetic and, of course, the whole episode that took place at the fulfillment of the Covenant on Mount Mowryah was prophetic. So, if Yahowah calls ‘Abraham a prophet I'm going to buy the fact that ‘Abraham is prophet. Clearly, Mizmowr 89 is prophetic. You would not normally include the author as a prophet, but you'd have to when you're reading through it. So, the answer is that because it's mentioned every time now that I use the term 40 as the edit team goes by, I did do the study and it really is 40.

It's again one of those numbers it's so close what are the odds that it's not? In this one it was easy to check so I checked. I can probably get to 6900 without batting an eye on the use of Yahowah. And then is it worth the added five or six days-worth of work to try to go through the Mizmowr that are not included in the current assemblage and then attempt to figure out every place where there is an “Adonai” in a text that is not supported by a Qumran text where it could have been a Yahowah? I think not just because Yahowah is a perfectionist. The number is seven thousand.

DEE: I'd like to go to 20 for a friend, Mary. I hear this all the time. You'd be shocked how many people think this in the Covenant family. She writes:

Someone told me they doubt they will be included in the Taruw'ah Harvest but think they will come afterward when Yah and Dowd return on Kipurym for the Chosen remnant. They said, "Isn't it enough that I believe and keep the feasts?" Could CW please address this again? Thank you so much. Mary Kris

YADA: My first reaction is, “Say what?” Okay. So okay so they want the fulfillment of Taruw'ah to follow the fulfillment of Kipurym and of Sukah so that Yahowah would go from fulfilling Pesach, Matsah, Bikuwrym, and Shabu'ah in order, skip Taruw'ah, do Kipurym and Sukah, and then backtrack to Taruw'ah? That is not going to happen. Taruw'ah will proceed Kipurym.

The other thing about Taruw'ah is, again, the day we go to space camp is nice and everything, but the purpose of Taruw'ah is to do what we're doing. So, if you focus on what the purpose of Taruw'ah is as opposed to going away part of Taruw'ah then the timing of it really isn't nearly as important as getting the job done between now and then.

Now, in terms of observing the Miqra'ey being the determining factor as to whether you get in or don't get in, that's really not true. The determination of whether you

are in or not in is the acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Covenant; the benefits of the Covenant are provided through the Mow'ed Miqra'ey. It is important that we answer Yahowah's invitations, that we meet with Him, and we come to appreciate how each of the seven Mow'ed Miqra' enables us to have a wonderful relationship with our Heavenly Father, but the criterion for being included in the Hereafter are the terms and conditions of the Covenant which is why 'Abraham happens to be a prophet because they're prophetically revealed through him.

So, I would focus more on your relationship with Yahowah and your acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Covenant and then celebrate the Mow'ed Miqra'ey as Yahowah's and Dowd's means to provide the benefits of the Covenant to you which are eternal life, being perfected by having your guilt hauled away and disposed of in She'owl by Dowd, being adopted into Yahowah's family, being enriched and empowered by Him so that we can do this job of Taruw'ah, which is to share this testimony that Dowd and his Father Yahowah will be returning on Yowm Kipurym at 6:22 pm, October 2, 2033, year 6000 Yah.

I'm having fun with that because I'm just so convinced that this is who Yahowah is, there would be no reason for Him to delay. So, this is my thinking. I think you've gotten a feel for this, this is my style. I enjoy my relationship with Yahowah. He's my father and friend, and I think it's fun to presume things that seem to be so obvious based upon the nature of who He is in the relationship.

I think there are two errors in there. One is that the Mow'ed Miqra'ey are fulfilled in order. He's not going to go out of that order. Secondly, the criterion for who's in and who's out happens to be the Covenant and so I would pay more attention to the terms and conditions of the Covenant than I would with the Mow'ed Miqra'ey. The Mow'ed Miqra'ey are essential; we should definitely respond to Yahowah's invitations and understand what these things represent but they are gifts from our Father and His Son to us. Our gift to Him is being a contributing member of the Covenant, somebody who is making Yahowah's existence richer and reaching out to His People to help them come home and to know their Father.

I think we probably have time for one more quick one before we're cut off.

DEE: What happens to children and grandchildren of a Covenant Family member during Taruw'ah? Shannon LeRoy

YADA: We've talked about this before. Like in your case, you have a very young child who even though she may already qualify because she clearly knows Yahowah,

she speaks of Yahowah, she loves Yahowah, you've raised her to know and to love Yahowah, and you've set an example where knowing Yahowah and being part of the Covenant is something wonderful.

I think that's to a large degree why Jacki has so many family members that are part of the Covenant. She radiates the beauty and joy of being part of God's family and it's contagious. I want what you have; what you have is wonderful, so I think it's possible with young children, and Jackie has it too, where even the very young children know Yahowah and enjoy His company. So, young children, if they haven't yet reached the age where they can make that decision, get to go with their parents. That's one of the joys of being a Covenant member; our children are not left behind. Once our children are of the age where they can make their own decision, like my two sons, one of which has chosen the Covenant, one has not. Either remains or comes along based upon their own choices. So, very young, dependent children will come with you, if you're a Covenant member, and your adult children will either come or stay totally depending upon the choices they have made.

I don't think we have time for any more questions, so I think it's probably best to wish everyone a wonderful Shabat. I hope we got the majority of them answered.

We'll return to Mizmowr 89 this time next week. Thank you all for listening. Thank you for making such wonderful contributions to Yahowah's family. Hopefully we'll do this again. My sense is this time I shared more from the heart. This is how I've incorporated the answers to these questions in not willing to go into detail as much as wanting to share the big picture and I hope maybe that was helpful because we had so many questions on timing issues and details as opposed to if you want to know about Taruw'ah, do Taruw'ah and everything will take care of itself.

One of my friends, Mike wanted to know, and perhaps we'll do next time, if Taruw'ah has a dark and light side. It does. It's like most Hebrew words. And there's a Mike question here someplace. *Ruwa* ' is the active verb in Taruw'ah. It means "to signal both an alarm and to convey (herald) a positive message." Then there's two variations of *ta'ab*. One is "to celebrate something and desire it." The other is "to loath it," and they are written exactly the same way. So, the *Ta* ' before *ruwa* ' can either be cast as to loathe the idea of conveying the message or to desire and love it. It's like *'anah* and so many other words that have a dark and a light side. You have to pick the right one based upon the use of the word.

Anyway, we'll return to the 89th Mizmowr next week. I hope to share some of the things that I wrote today because I think they would make an interesting influence in people's lives.

The last thing I want to share is to focus on the big picture. We all love the details and how they're woven into this plan and Yahowah's dates, the timing, and how He approaches things but it's the big picture that matters: Do you want to be part of God's family, His *beryth* | Covenant? Are you willing to accept the five terms and conditions? Do you understand how the five benefits are provided by the fulfillment of the Mow'ed Miqra'ey? Do you understand how Yahowah chose Dowd and how Dowd volunteered to be the person that Yahowah worked with to make those things possible and how coming to know Dowd as the *Zarowa'* and our Savior is so essential to Yahowah because He's proud of His Son; His son did something truly magnificent, and his people have rejected him. So, very few things are as important as coming to appreciate what he has done for us and to share it so that Yahowah's people disengage from politics and religion and come to know, trust, and love Yahowah as their Father and Dowd as their brother in addition to being their King and Savior.

So, that's a lot for one show. We were lucky Blog Talk Radio didn't kick us off at 9:05. I guess they knew we had things to talk about. Thank you again for the questions. I hope that you benefit from the answers. May Yah bless you all.

Good night.